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Study the Prodrome

- Challenge: Average age of diagnosis is 5
- Incentive: Parents report signs by the first birthday
- Clinical science
  - Early detection
  - Early intervention
- Basic science
  - Processes affected first
  - Earliest signs may help in search for biological markers
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Research Design: High-Risk Infants
Research Design

High-Risk Typical (46%)

High-Risk Atypical (35%)

High-Risk with ASD (19%)
Social Behavioral Markers of ASD at 12 months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social Behavior</th>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Social Behavior</th>
<th>Citation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Showing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Gaze to face (person)</td>
<td>5-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pointing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Response to Name</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requesting</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Social Smiling</td>
<td>2, 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response to Pointing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Social vocalizations</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expressive and Receptive Language</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>Engagement with researcher</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response to Distress</td>
<td>3, 4</td>
<td>Imitation</td>
<td>2, 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Rozga et al., 2011; (2) Zwaigenbaum et al., 2005; (3) Hutman et al, 2010; 
(4) McDonald et al., 2011; (5) Hutman et al., 2012; (6) Ozonoff et al., 2010; 
(7) Macari et al., 2011; (8) Young et al., 2012
Decreased Spontaneous Attention to Social Scenes in 6-Month-Old Infants Later Diagnosed with Autism Spectrum Disorders

Katarzyna Chawarska, Suzanne Macari, and Frederick Shic
Decreased Attention to Social Scene - 6 months

- **Observed in**
  - Chawarska, Macari & Shic, 2013

- **Effect evaluated but not observed in**
  - Elsabbagh et al., 2012
  - Jones & Klin, 2013
  - Ozonoff et al., 2010
  - Rozga et al., 2011
  - Young et al., 2009
  - Zwaigenbaum et al., 2005
Repeated Measures Visual Fixation on Dynamic Social Scene from 2-24 months

Fixation on actress’ eyes

Fixation on objects

Jones & Klin, 2013
Opportunity for treatment

“predispositions that are initially intact suggest a neural foundation that may be built upon, offering far more positive possibilities than if that foundation were absent from the outset.”

Jones & Klin, 2013, p. 430
A Prospective Study of the Emergence of Early Behavioral Signs of Autism

Sally Ozonoff, Ph.D., Ana-Maria Iosif, Ph.D., Fam Baguio, B.S., Ian C. Cook, Ph.D., Monique Moore Hill, M.S., Ted Hutman, Ph.D., Sally J. Rogers, Ph.D., Agata Rozga, Ph.D., Sarabjit Sangha, B.S., Marian Sigman, Ph.D., Mary Beth Steinfeld, M.D., Gregory S. Young, Ph.D.
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Alternating Gaze to Initiate Joint Attention at 12 months

(Rozga et al., 2011)
Latency to Shift Attention from Toy to Examiner

(Ponting, Dull & Hutman, in preparation)
Diagnostic Classification moderates relation between Latency and Growth in IJA

Growth in IJA low from 12 to 18 months

Latency to Orient at 12 months (Seconds)

(F(3,52)=5.41, p<.01)
Dyadic Interaction: Turn-taking with Ball

Average Number of Turns Rolling Ball

ASD | ATYP | TD

(Nguyen, Guevara & Hutman, in preparation)
Social overlay during ball rolling task

- Groups did not differ on
  - Frequency or magnitude of smiles
  - Duration of looks to the examiner
  - Combination of smiles and looks to the examiner

- Duration of looks to the examiner during ball task
  - Concurrently related to alternating gaze to coordinate interaction
    - $r = .38, p < .001$
  - Predicts same alternating gaze at 18 months
    - $r = .22, p < .05$
  - Predicts autism symptom severity at 24 months, but not 36 months
    - $r = -.26, p < .01$

(Beatty, La-Vu, Epps & Hutman, in preparation)
Play Acts by Type and Diagnostic Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Frequency of Behavior</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initiations</td>
<td>Responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD</td>
<td>ATYP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < .05
Duration of social engagement states by group

**Duration of State (Seconds)**

- **Engaged**
  - ASD
  - ATYP
  - TD

- **Parallel**

**p < .01**
Play Acts by Social Engagement Condition and Diagnostic Outcome Classification

![Graph showing frequency of behavior for initiated and responded engagement.]

- **Initiation**:
  - Engaged: ASD, ATYP, TD
  - Parallel: ASD, ATYP, TD

- **Response**:
  - Engaged: ASD, ATYP, TD
  - Parallel: ASD, ATYP, TD

Legend:
- **ASD**
- **ATYP**
- **TD**
Rates per minute by condition, play act, and diagnostic group

### Behaviors per minute

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Engaged</th>
<th>Parallel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initiation</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Diagnostic Groups
- ASD
- ATYP
- TD

![Graph showing rates per minute by condition and diagnostic group]
Summary

- Examined spared social behaviors in infants later diagnosed with Autism Spectrum Disorder in the domains of
  - Triadic interaction – alternating gaze to coordinate interaction
  - Dyadic interaction – back and forth game with ball
  - Toy play
- Measures/constructs of interest include
  - Time to convert private enjoyment into shared enjoyment
  - Attention to face and positive affect during play with an object
  - Initiations and Responses in the context of joint engagement with toys vs. parallel play
Implications of Alternating Gaze

- Indicating and sharing interest by pointing and showing are impaired by 12 months, but alternating gaze appears to be spared
- Breakdown of motor planning or initiation inhibiting pointing, showing?
- Reinforce intact skills with praise
- Practice in the context of playful interactions
- Expand into pointing and showing
- Latency effects suggest that TD infants accomplish something during longer attention to objects.
- Explore longer latencies in children with ASD for problem with “sticky” attention
Implications of Ball Rolling Task

• Back-and-forth play with ball is performed at least as much by infants with ASD as by infants without ASD
• Attention to social partner and enjoyment of the task are intact
• Back-and-forth exchanges and face-to-interaction appear to be easier for infants with ASD than interactions involving an external object
• Alternating back-and-forth exchanges with triadic interactions may give way to broader areas of skill and enjoyment
Implications of Mother-Toddler Toy Play Study

- Initiations and responses occur at comparable rates among toddlers with and without ASD
- Differences in frequency may be attributable to context of social engagement
- Treatments should focus on extend bouts of joint engagement
  - This depends both on the play partner and the toddler’s ability to sustain attention to toys
- Differences were observed in the frequency of responsive play acts whereas older children with ASD struggle with initiations
- Therefore initiations should be strongly emphasized/reinforced before they lag in frequency relative to TD toddlers.
Timing

Fixation on eyes

Social Smiles
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Participating families will receive:

- $30-50 for each visit to UCLA
- Developmental feedback
- A picture of your baby’s brain
- Free intervention if eligible

Eligible infants are under six weeks old and have either:

- More than one sibling with autism,
- One sibling with autism and an extended family history of the disorder, or
- No family history of autism or other developmental disorders

This study involves several visits to UCLA in your baby’s first year and will include:

- EEG, MRI, and Eye-tracking
- Developmental assessments
Contact

- Infant Sibling Research Study
- Phone: (310) 825-3478
- Email: siblings@autism.ucla.edu