Psycho-Oncology 16: 499-501 (2007)

Published online 8 November 2006 in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com). DOI: 10.1002/pon.1108

Brief Report

Pro-inflammatory cytokines and depression in a familial cancer registry

Mary-Frances O'Connor*, Michael R. Irwin, Joyce Seldon, Lorna Kwan and Patricia A. Ganz Cousins Center for Psychoneuroimmunology, UCLA Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Abstract

* Correspondence to: Cousins Center for Psychoneuroimmunology, UCLA Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior, 300 Medical Plaza, Room 3156, Los Angeles, CA 90095-7076, USA E-mail: mfoconnor@mednet.ucla.edu

Patients undergoing cancer treatment (e.g., interferon or IL-2 treatment) develop depression, and there is a positive relationship between their depression and circulating levels of proinflammatory cytokines. Depressed patients who are medically healthy also show increases in circulating markers of inflammation. The present study characterized baseline levels of inflammatory cytokine activity in 18 pairs of depressed and non-depressed persons at high risk for cancer and matched for age, ethnicity and all unaffected by a personal history of cancer. Circulating levels of interleukin-6 (IL-6), soluble IL-6 receptor (sIL-6R), tumor necrosis factor- α -receptor (TNF-RII), and soluble intercellular adhesion molecule (sICAM) did not differ between those with and without depression. The present data are important for characterizing persons at high risk for cancer who may later acquire knowledge of further increased risk through genetic testing.

Received: 14 April 2006 Accepted: 26 September 2006

Copyright © 2006 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Keywords: cancer; oncology; depression; cytokines; interleukin-6; high risk

Introduction

Inflammation may contribute in part to the pathophysiology of depression. Medically ill patients, who exhibit evidence of immune activation and/or inflammation, exhibit high rates of depression [1]. Those patients undergoing cancer treatment (e.g. interferon or IL-2 treatment) develop depression, and there is a positive relationship between their depression and proinflammatory cytokine levels [2,3]. Second, depressed patients who are medically healthy show increases in circulating markers of inflammation including interleukin-6 (IL-6), tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), and soluble intercellular adhesion molecule (sICAM) [4-7]. Third, experimentally induced immune activation with the release of proinflammatory cytokines (e.g. IL-6, TNF- α) and their receptors (e.g., soluble IL-6 receptor, sIL-6R; tumor necrosis factor-α-receptor II, TNF-RII) leads to increases in depressive symptoms [8-10]. Co-expression of the IL-6 soluble receptor (sIL-6R) combines with IL-6 to serve as an agonist signal in mediating the action of this proinflammatory cytokine.

Examination of the inter-relationships between proinflammatory cytokine activity and depression in cancer populations is limited. One study found that cancer patients with depression have significantly higher levels of IL-6 as compared to cancer patients without depression and healthy comparison subjects [11]. Correlations have been found between cancer patient's serum levels of TNF- α and IL-6 and poor quality of life [12]. However, levels of proinflammatory cytokines in depressed and non-depressed persons at high risk for cancer have not been examined.

Being at risk for cancer poses a significant psychosocial stress [13] which can lead to the onset of depression. However, there is striking variability in the occurrence of depression even among persons at risk for cancer [14]. Among those at risk for cancer, pro-inflammatory cytokines may be a biomarker for depression. In this cross-sectional study, we tested whether serum levels of proinflammatory cytokine activity (e.g. IL-6, sIL-6R, sTNF-RII, sICAM), would be higher in participants with depressive symptoms as compared to participants without depressive symptoms.

Methods

Biological specimens came from a repository linked to research participants in the UCLA Familial Cancer Registry and Genetic Evaluation Program at the Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center. The Registry is a shared resource designed to facilitate genetic, behavioral, psychosocial and biological research. Participants must be at very high risk for the development of a familial or hereditary cancer and/or be affected themselves and a member of such a family. Participants agree to complete questionnaires assessing health status, psychological well-being, life style and health behaviors, as well as donate blood and tissue specimens (when appropriate), all before any genetic testing may occur. These materials are then available for subsequent research. Among the questionnaire instruments used are the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D), a measure of depressive symptomatology [15] and the Medical Outcomes Short Form 36 item Scale (SF-36) [16], a measure of health functioning. All participants gave signed, informed consent to participate in the research protocol, approved by the Institutional Review Board of UCLA, before undergoing sampling. This consent included the use of their specimens and data in subsequent research by other researchers using IRB exemption procedures.

From the larger Registry, 36 participants' serum samples were analyzed. Eighteen participants were chosen because they had CES-D scores greater than 16 (depressed group) and 18 participants were selected with CES-D scores below 5 (non-depressed group). These samples were all taken from women who had been unaffected by a personal history of cancer. Smokers were excluded due to the effect of smoking on pro-inflammatory cytokine levels. The two groups were matched on age and non-white ethnicity.

Serum samples had been separated previously according to standard procedures and stored at -70° C for subsequent study. Serum concentrations of IL-6, sIL-6R, sTNF-RII and sICAM were

measured using commercially available enzymelinked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs). IL-6 was measured using Quantikine High Sensitivity Immunoassay kits (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN). sIL-6R, sICAM, sTNF-RII were measured with Quantikine Immunoassay kits (R&D Systems). The measurement of cytokine and activation marker levels was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. Quality control procedures for our laboratory were conducted in the usual manner [17]. The intra-assay precision of all tests was less than or equal to 14% for in-house quality-control samples. All samples were assayed by personnel who were blind to the diagnostic identity of the study subjects. Differences between groups for continuous variables were tested by t-tests; differences in categorical variables (e.g. ethnicity, menopausal status) were tested using chi-square.

Results

Consistent with subject matching procedures, the two groups did not significantly differ in age, race, education, or menopausal status (Table 1). As compared to the non-depressed group, the depressed group showed impairments in health functioning with lower SF-36 scores for domains of general health, vitality, social functioning, roleemotional, and mental health; no group differences were found for physical functioning, role-physical, or bodily pain. The depressed- and non-depressed

Table 1. Demographic characteristics, SF-36 scores, and circulating levels of inflammatory markers among depressed and nondepressed female participants at high risk for cancer, but without a personal history of cancer

	Depressed CES-D > 16	Non-depressed CES-D $<$ 5	Difference score	p-value
Age	41.7 (10.5)	41.6 (10.2)	-0.02	0.98
% White*	83.3	94.4	1.13	0.60
% College (vs <college)< td=""><td>22.2</td><td>44.4</td><td>2.00</td><td>0.16</td></college)<>	22.2	44.4	2.00	0.16
% \$100K income	33.3	55.6	1.80	0.18
% Menopausal	16.7	38.9	2.22	0.14
% Employed FT/PT	66.7	77.8	0.55	0.46
% Committed relationship	66.7	94.4	4.43	0.08
SF-36 domains				
Physical functioning	84.7 (19.1)	93.9 (10.2)	1.80	0.08
Role physical	83.3 (28.4)	95.8 (17.7)	1.58	0.12
Bodily pain	74.7 (27.8)	81 (21.5)	0.75	0.46
General health	57.5 (24.1)	82.8 (11.1)	4.03	< 0.00
Vitality	49.7 (23.5)	76.7 (8.6)	4.56	< 0.00
Social functioning	70.1 (26.5)	95.8 (7.4)	3.96	< 0.00
Role emotional	48.1 (40.0)	98.1 (7.9)	5.21	< 0.000
Mental health	60.2 (17.8)	88.7 (6.9)	6.33	< 0.000
Inflammatory Marker				
IL-6	1.8 (1.35)	1.50 (.90)	0.01	0.99
slL-6r	30759.8 (10636.13)	34877.98 (8308.26)	0.89	0.38
sTNF-R2	2044.72 (533.11)	2133.67 (406.54)	0.70	0.50
sICAM	236.34 (43.32)	253.43 (30.8)	1.48	0.15

Means were tested with t-test; frequencies were tested with chi-square, unless denoted with a * in which case Fisher's exact test was used. FT/PT = full/part time.

groups showed similar levels of IL-6, sIL-6r, TNF-RII, and sICAM (Table 1).

Discussion

The present study characterized baseline inflammation levels in persons at high risk for cancer, including IL-6, sIL-6r, TNF-RII and sICAM. Whereas higher levels of IL-6 and sICAM have been associated with depression [4–7,11], differences in IL-6 and other circulating markers of inflammation were not found between those with and without depression in this sample.

Chronic psychosocial stress has been associated with higher IL-6 levels compared to controls [18], and it is possible that the non-depressed group had elevated levels of inflammation due to the stress of being at high risk for cancer. However, in the present study, levels of pro-inflammatory cytokine are within the range for women of this age who do not have such stress (i.e., Nurses Health Study) [19,20].

Limitations of the present study include the cross-sectional nature of the study; hence, we do not know how long these individuals had depressive symptoms. In addition, it is not possible to control for prior levels of the participants' inflammatory markers and future research should utilize a prospective design to allow participants to serve as their own controls. The sample size in the present study is moderate; however, previous studies have detected differences in inflammatory markers in studies of this size [7,11].

The present data are important for characterizing high-risk patients who may later acquire knowledge of increased cancer risk through genetic testing. The present study provides a baseline with which to compare other studies, in particular, studies investigating the effects of stress that come from the acquisition of knowledge of genetic status.

Acknowledgements

This work supported by the Friends of the Semel Institute Research Fellowship.

References

- 1. Raison CL, Capuron L, Miller AH. Cytokines sing the blues: inflammation and the pathogenesis of depression. *Trends Immunol* 2006;**27**(1):24–31.
- Capuron L, Ravaud A, Gualde N et al. Association between immune activation and early depressive symptoms in cancer patients treated with interleukin-2-based therapy. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2001;26(8):797–808.
- 3. Schaefer M, Horn M, Schmidt F et al. Correlation between sicam-1 and depressive symptoms during

adjuvant treatment of melanoma with interferon- α . Brain, Behav Immun 2004;**18**(6):555–562.

- Lesperance F, Frasure-Smith N, Theroux P et al. The association between major depression and levels of soluble intercellular adhesion molecule 1, interleukin-6, and c-reactive protein in patients with recent acute coronary syndromes. Am J Psychiatry 2004;161(2): 271–277.
- Penninx BWJH, Kritchevsky SB, Yaffe K et al. Inflammatory markers and depressed mood in older persons: results from the health, aging and body composition study. *Biol Psychiatry* 2003;54(5):566.
- Pike JL, Irwin MR. Dissociation of inflammatory markers and natural killer cell activity in major depressive disorder. *Brain Behav Immun* 2006; 20(2):169–174.
- Trzonkowski P, Mysliwska J, Godlewska B et al. Immune consequences of the spontaneous pro-inflammatory status in depressed elderly patients. *Brain, Behav Immun* 2004;18(2):135.
- Glaser R, Robles TF, Sheridan J et al. Mild depressive symptoms are associated with amplified and prolonged inflammatory responses after influenza virus vaccination in older adults. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2003;60(10): 1009–1014.
- Konsman JP, Parnet P, Dantzer R. Cytokine-induced sickness behaviour: mechanisms and implications. *Trends Neurosci* 2002;25(3):154.
- Reichenberg A, Yirmiya R, Schuld A *et al.* Cytokineassociated emotional and cognitive disturbances in humans. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2001;58(5):445–452.
- 11. Musselman DL, Miller AH, Porter MR *et al.* Higher than normal plasma interleukin-6 concentrations in cancer patients with depression: preliminary findings. *Am J Psychiatry* 2001;**158**(8):1252–1257.
- 12. Rich T, Innominato PF, Boerner J *et al.* Elevated serum cytokines correlated with altered behavior, serum cortisol rhythm, and dampened 24-hour rest-activity patterns in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2005;**11**(5):1757–1764.
- 13. Pasacreta JV. Psychosocial issues associated with genetic testing for breast and ovarian cancer risk: an integrative review. *Cancer Invest* 2003;**21**:588–623.
- McDaniel JS, Musselman DL, Porter MR, Reed DA, Nemeroff CB. Depression in patients with cancer. Diagnosis, biology, and treatment. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1995;**52**:89–99.
- 15. Radloff LS. The CES-D scale: a self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Appl Psychol Measure* 1977;1:385–401.
- Ware JE, Sherbourne CD. The mos 36-item short-form health survey (sf-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. *Med Care* 1992;30:473–483.
- Aziz N, Nishanian P, Mitsuyasu R *et al*. Variables that affect assays for plasma cytokines and soluble activation markers. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol* 1999;6(1):89–95.
- Lutgendorf SK, Garand L, Buckwalter KC *et al.* Life stress, mood distrubance, and elevated interleukin-6 in healthy older women. *J Gerontol. Ser A, Biol Sci Med Sci* 1999;54:M434–M439.
- Lopez-Garcia E, Schulze MB, Fung TT *et al.* Major dietary patterns are related to plasma concentrations of markers of inflammation and endothelial dysfunction. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2004;**80**(4):1029–1035.
- 20. Pai JK, Pischon T, Ma J *et al.* Inflammatory markers and the risk of coronary heart disease in men and women. *N Engl J Med* 2004;**351**(25):2599–2610.