Enhancing patient and organizational readiness for cardiovascular risk reduction among Black and Latinx patients living with HIV: Study protocol
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ARTICLE INFO

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is an increasingly important cause of morbidity and mortality among people living with HIV (PLWH) now that HIV is a manageable chronic disease. Identification and treatment of comorbid medical conditions for PLWH, including CVD and its risk factors, typically lack a critical component of care: integrated care for histories of trauma. Experiences of trauma are associated with increased HIV infection, CVD risk, inconsistent treatment adherence, and poor CVD outcomes. To address this deficit among those at greatest risk and disproportionately affected by HIV and trauma—i.e., Black and Latinx individuals—a novel culturally-congruent, evidence-informed care model, “Healing our Hearts, Minds and Bodies” (HHMB), has been designed to address patients’ trauma histories and barriers to care, and to prepare patients to engage in CVD risk reduction. Further, in recognition of the need to ensure that PLWH receive guideline-concordant cardiovascular care, implementation strategies have been identified that prepare providers and clinics to address CVD risk among their Black and Latinx PLWH. The focus of this paper is to describe the hybrid Type 2 effectiveness/implementation study design, the goal of which is to increase both patient and organizational readiness to address trauma and CVD risk among 260 Black and Latinx PLWH recruited from two HIV service organizations in Southern California. This study is expected to produce important information regarding the value of the HHMB intervention and implementation processes and strategies designed for use in implementing HHMB and other evidence-informed programs in diverse, resource-constrained treatment settings, including those that serve patients living in deep poverty. Clinical trials registry: NCT04025463.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier Inc.
Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is a serious concern among people living with HIV (PLWH). Antiretroviral therapy (ART) use has increased the survival of PLWH, but CVD mortality is rising in the face of lower overall mortality. After adjustment for traditional CVD risk factors, PLWH have almost 50% higher risk for myocardial infarction (MI) than the general population. The mechanisms underlying the association between HIV infection, ART, and CVD risk are not fully understood, yet factors that may contribute to this heightened risk include: HIV infection is associated with dyslipidemia and inflammation; ART agents increase the risk of diabetes and dyslipidemia; and the HIV infected population is living longer, resulting in higher rates of traditional CVD risk factors (e.g., hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia). Among age-matched African American and Latinx patients, including those with or without HIV, traditional CVD risk factors are more common or more poorly controlled than among white patients. Additionally, PLWH have higher rates of traditional behavioral and social risk factors (e.g., smoking, sedentary lifestyle, low income), and high rates of non-traditional risk factors (e.g., Hepatitis C infection, substance use).

A history of trauma may adversely affect healthcare utilization and adherence among PLWH as histories of trauma and adversity are associated with decreased healthcare utilization, inconsistent treatment adherence, and engagement in HIV-risk behaviors. Although PLWH have access to HIV medical care, trauma increases their risk for treatment dropout and non-adherence. It is estimated that 50–80% of PLWH with histories of trauma do not fully comply with ART protocols. Although the reasons for non-adherence vary, trauma is thought to negatively impact provider-patient relationships. For example, PLWH with severe trauma histories tend to experience medical care as both intrusive and re-traumatizing. A lack of supportive clinic environments can increase exposure to trauma-related triggers. Most PLWH do not disclose past sexual trauma to HIV providers, despite extensive evidence that psychological and behavioral correlates of these experiences compromise HIV and other medical care engagement. Additional barriers to effective prevention and treatment efforts include distrust of medical providers and institutions—particularly among African American PLWH—coupled with disparities in quality of care. Conversely, trust in one’s medical care providers is associated with increased clinic visits, treatment adherence, and greater health and mental health, regardless of ethnicity, suggesting the importance of addressing these issues in HIV treatment paradigms.

Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is associated with adverse CVD outcomes, including risk for pulmonary disease and coronary artery disease. Women develop PTSD two to three times more often than men after experiencing trauma, yet most research on the association between trauma, PTSD, and CVD has been conducted with men. Among women, both childhood and adult abuse are associated with increased risk for diabetes, CVD, and chronic pain. Gender differences have also been found between low childhood socio-economic status, family instability, and poor health in adulthood. For example, compared with men, the risk of MI or other CVD is more strongly associated with adverse childhood conditions for women. Both trauma and PTSD have been associated with physiological processes that may affect CVD, including dysregulation in the autonomic nervous system and the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, resulting in elevations in blood pressure, heart rate, dysregulation of cortisol, increased secretion of catecholamines, and inflammation. These relationships may be greater for African American and impoverished individuals, particularly women, who experience socioeconomic and racial/ethnic disparities in CVD risk. Research is needed to examine the cumulative effects of trauma on CVD, as repeated exposures may increase risk for heart attack and other CVD, even in individuals who display few or no symptoms of PTSD.

Health systems that coordinate and integrate care across HIV and chronic conditions such as CVD for men and women may provide the infrastructure needed to address the complex interplay with trauma symptomatology (e.g., PTSD and depression). A novel, culturally-congruent, evidence-informed care model called, “Healing our Hearts, Minds and Bodies” (HHMB) has been designed to address patients’ trauma histories and barriers to care, and to prepare patients to engage in CVD risk reduction. Recognizing the need to ensure that PLWH receive CVD guideline concordant care, implementation strategies have also been developed to prepare providers and clinics to address CVD risk among their HIV-positive patients. The goal of this study is to increase both patient and organizational readiness to address trauma and CVD risk among PLWH. The HHMB study addresses the need for greater patient, provider, and health system focus on CVD risk in PLWH by incorporating both implementation and effectiveness aims using hybrid type 2 effectiveness/implementation study design, which have a “dual focus on effectiveness and implementation outcomes; these designs allow for the simultaneous testing or piloting of implementation strategies during what is otherwise an effectiveness trial.”

The specific aims are as follows:

1. To assess and enhance organizational readiness for addressing trauma and CVD risk among Black and Latinx PLWH. Specifically, a phased approach will drive the use of implementation strategies designed to educate, monitor, and support providers and staff in adhering to CVD care guidelines;
2. To use mixed methods to: (a) Evaluate the use and effectiveness of implementation strategies over time, and (b) Identify barriers and facilitators to organizational adoption of guidelines, provider adherence to guidelines, feasibility, and sustainability; and
(3) To evaluate the effect of HHMB on cognitive-behavioral, emotional, and physical outcomes among 260 PLWH, specifically: patient activation, engagement in care, knowledge of CVD risk, adherence to clinicians’ recommendations, cardiovascular health, mental health symptoms, and satisfaction with care.

Methods/design

Overview of study design

Drawing on a multi-framework approach articulated by Damshroeder and colleagues, an adapted version of the Replicating Effective Programs (REP) framework will guide the use of implementation strategies and the tailoring of the HHMB intervention within the two participating implementation settings. The Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR) will be used to guide the evaluation analyses.

The organizational-level sample for this study is the collaborative network of the two clinics, both of which serve large numbers of adult African American or Black (hereafter referred to as Black) and Hispanic, Latino, or Latinx (hereafter referred to as Latinx) men and women ages 18 to 75 with a diagnosis of HIV or AIDS. It is expected that 21 staff members across the two agencies will complete the organizational survey and qualitative interviews, although all agency staff will be recruited to participate. The community organization partners are similar to those that would be likely to use HHMB and the organizational implementation strategies when the study is completed. Past collaborations with clinic staff and the directors enhance the potential adoption and sustainability of the HHMB program.

Participants for this study are PLWH at one of two participating agencies who are already in care. We will use a self-controlled case series method design (i.e., patients as their own controls), wherein “only individuals who have experienced an event are included and all time invariant confounding is eliminated.” The outcomes for the 260 participants will be measured before and after the intervention program and the difference in outcomes will be evaluated and analyzed. This design is efficient and appropriate particularly when it is difficult to identify an appropriate comparison group. We found in a previous hybrid type 2 implementation/effectiveness study that randomization (to a waitlist control) was not feasible given the hard-to-reach population and the population’s vulnerability and need for care immediately upon expressing willingness to participate.

This design also permits the focus on providing new services rather than recruiting new patients to the clinics for HIV treatment. The latter new population would have to establish trust before assessing their readiness to be involved in their own health care and HIV treatment. As noted above, lack of trust is a factor in non-adherence to medication and to the recommendations of health providers; this study permits the enhancement of trust rather than its initial establishment.

The patient-level sample consists of 260 Black (African American and African) and Latinx men and women recruited from the clinics where they have received care on at least one occasion for testing or treatment. The power analysis is based on a sample size of 260. In Brown et al.’s study, the total Life’s Simple Seven (LS7; see below) standard deviation (SD) was 3.1 for Black participants and 3.5 for Hispanic participants. Brewer et al.’s study found that the mean LS7 scores accounting for all LS7 components (range 0 to 14) were 8.8 (SD 2.5) and 8.2 (SD 2.1) at baseline and 3-month post-intervention, respectively. Using a type I error of 0.05, type II error of 0.2 (or equivalent to power of 80%), and a paired t-test (from baseline to 3 months), a sample size of 260 and total LS7 SD at 3.5 level will enable us to detect a mean of paired difference as small as 0.6 for the primary intervention outcome of total LS7.

Participants are recruited through advertisements at the clinics and staff awareness. Participant eligibility criteria include: 1) 18 and 75 years of age; 2) HIV-positive; 3) expecting to remain in the Los Angeles area for at least five months; 4) agreeing to medical record review for prior CVD-related test results (e.g., high cholesterol, weight gain, a history of diabetes or high blood pressure); and 5) being willing to participate in English or Spanish. To be eligible, clients must also have >0 (i.e., at least one of the six items is endorsed) on the UCLA Life Adversities Screener (LADS), a validated brief assessment of trauma and adversity history that has demonstrated a strong relationship to PTSD symptoms, depression, and anxiety (Liu et al., 2015). Clients must also identify at least one CVD risk factor on the CVD Screener. This screener was derived from the AHA LS7 Screener and the Cardiovascular Patient Worksheet, a one-page self-report form (developed for a Veterans Health Administration-based CVD risk reduction implementation study) that asks clients about CVD-related illnesses, pregnancy-related CVD complications, and family history, as well as smoking and exercise behaviors. Individuals who participate receive a $25 incentive for each data collection episode (pre-, post-, 3-month follow up) as well as $20 for each HHMB intervention session attended.

Participant exclusion criteria include: 1) known psychiatric, physical or neurological impairment that would limit their effective participation; 2) recent history of a severe illness, sexual or physical abuse that might require sudden medical, psychological and/or legal intervention; 3) unwilling or unable to give consent to participate in the study through completion. Referrals are provided as necessary.

Study activities and procedures are described below according to the phased approach conceptualized in an adapted version of the REP framework (Fig 1). All participants will provide informed consent. The protocol is approved by the University of California Los Angeles Institutional Review Board.

Phase 1: pre-conditions

Three main implementation strategies occur in this phase: (1) draft intervention package, in collaboration with our agency partners and consultant (CG); (2) ensure intervention fits local settings and stakeholder priorities; and (3) identify implementation barriers (baseline evaluation) via qualitative interviews with staff and a brief survey assessing staff experience of workload. A self-controlled case study (pretest, post-test, follow-up, plus medical record review at one-year post); (4) evaluation; and (5) identify implementation barriers (baseline evaluation) via qualitative interviews with staff and a brief survey assessing staff experience of workload. A self-controlled case study (pretest, post-test, follow-up, plus medical record review at one-year post).

Four implementation strategies are used in this phase: (1) develop intervention package training materials; (2) identify program champions; (3) hold orientation meetings; and (4) pilot test the intervention. After receiving training, facilitators at each intervention site pilot the intervention with the first HHMB group of ~8 participants. Facilitators are rated for their fidelity to the curriculum and given feedback and debriefed after the first HHMB group at each organization. Clients are briefly interviewed regarding comfort and satisfaction with the intervention.

Phase 3: implementation

This phase involves: (1) technical assistance and any additional training; (2) delivery of the HHMB intervention with a target of at least 12 cycles of the five-week intervention over a 26-month implementation phase; (3) client-level data collection (pre-, post-, 3-month follow-up, plus medical record review at one-year post); (4) evaluation; and (5) stakeholder engagement, including discussing plans for maintenance and evolution of the HHMB intervention package.

The Healing our Hearts, Minds and Bodies (HHMB) intervention. HHMB is a psychoeducational, trauma-focused intervention derived from three
Adapted Replicating Effective Programs (REP) framework

Baseline and outcome measures

Psychological health. Depression symptoms are assessed with the 21-item Beck Depression Inventory II (BDI-II), a self-report questionnaire designed to assess symptoms of Major Depressive Disorder. Post-

Please cite this article as: A.B. Hamilton, A. Brown, T. Loeb, et al., Enhancing patient and organizational readiness for cardiovascular risk reduction among Black and Lat..., Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcad.2020.02.014
traumatic stress symptoms are assessed with the 17-item Post-traumatic Diagnostic Scale (PDS) that yields a reliable sum score. General anxiety is assessed with the Patient Health Questionnaire-15 (PHQ-15), based on the diagnostic criteria for anxiety. Emotional regulation is assessed with the Short Form of the Difficulties in Emotional Regulation Scale (DERS). This 18-item short version is highly correlated with the original 36-item form and demonstrates good validity and reliability. Sleep habits are measured with items from the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI).

The main effectiveness outcome is the LS7 score. The LS7 is a set of national goals developed by the American Heart Association to define, monitor, and enhance cardiovascular health through the primary prevention of heart disease and stroke, and to track health disparities. The LS7 score summarizes control of three health factors (blood pressure, serum lipids, and blood glucose levels) and four health behaviors (physical activity, diet, maintaining a healthy weight, and not smoking). Lower scores are associated with higher all-cause and CVD-related mortality and with a higher incidence of CVD, stroke, heart failure, cognitive impairment, depressive symptoms, and end-stage renal disease. Diet, physical activity, and smoking are obtained through the survey and blood pressure is measured by the study team at baseline (pre-), approximately one week after completion of the classes (post-), and at three months. A1c and lipids are measured with blood spots at baseline and three months. The A1c is measured with the DCA Vantage® Analyzer (Siemens); non-fasting cholesterol levels (total, LDL, and HDL) and triglycerides are measured using a CardioChek PA Analyzer (CardioChek).

Table 1 details definitions of poor, intermediate, and ideal levels for each LS7 component. To score each LS7 component, we assign 2 points to the ideal category, 1 point for intermediate values, and zero points to the poor category. Total LS7 score is calculated for each participant by summing the scores for all seven components, with a resulting range from 0 to 14.

Table 1: Life's simple seven cardiovascular health metrics and definitions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health metric</th>
<th>Poor (scored = 0)</th>
<th>Intermediate (scored = 1)</th>
<th>Ideal (scored = 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure</td>
<td>SBP ≥ 140 or DBP ≥ 90 mmHg</td>
<td>SBP 120–139 and DBP 80–89 mmHg or treated to ideal goal</td>
<td>SBP &lt; 120 and DBP &lt; 80 mmHg and not on blood pressure medications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol</td>
<td>≥240 mg/dL or ≥5.7%</td>
<td>200–239 mg/dL or treated to ideal goal</td>
<td>&lt;200 mg/dL, and not on lipid lowering medications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1c</td>
<td>≥30 kg/m² or ≥25–29.9 kg/m²</td>
<td>5.0–5.6</td>
<td>&lt;4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>≥30 kg/m² or ≥25–29.9 kg/m²</td>
<td>5.0–5.6</td>
<td>&lt;4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>None or 0</td>
<td>Under 30 min moderate exercise 3 days per week</td>
<td>≥30 min moderate exercise at least 3 days per week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit and vegetable servings per day</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>≥5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>Current</td>
<td>Former, stopped ±12 months ago</td>
<td>Never smoked or quit ±12 months ago</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: SBP, Systolic Blood Pressure; DBP, Diastolic Blood Pressure.

* Healthy diet score is calculated using four components of the Health Eating Index (fruits, vegetables, grains and sodium), a diet quality scale that assesses conformance to federal dietary guidance.
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the small sample size and the modest, practical goal of characterizing the agencies in preparation for implementation. In addition, facilitator and participant satisfaction ratings are evaluated to characterize acceptability of the intervention. These ratings are compared both within and across cohorts and organizations.

Potential determinants of adoption and fidelity include but are not limited to training factors, competency at delivering the intervention, types of facilitators, participant gender and racial/ethnic diversity in a given cohort, retention, and satisfaction. These factors are examined when characterizing fidelity across agencies. In addition, based on interviews with facilitators and clients, other determinants may emerge during implementation. There are several possible determinants of maintenance. It is hypothesized that maintenance will be achieved in agencies that have their own trained staff facilitators who deliver the intervention with fidelity and who had positive experiences with the intervention during the implementation phase.

Qualitative data analysis

All interviews are digitally recorded and transcribed by a professional transcription company. Analysis will be conducted using ATLAS.ti, a software package that allows for fluid “interaction” of data types and sources. Using constant comparison analytic methods,64 a preliminary codebook will be developed both deductively using CFIR and inductively from a sub-sample of interviews within and across organizations at baseline. Qualitative findings at baseline are augmented by preliminary analyses of staff-level data from the survey described above, and a baseline profile developed for each agency. These profiles are reviewed by the Implementation Team, and used to tailor implementation strategies at each site (e.g., where there is a high level of burnout, additional attention will be paid to supporting staff and managing workload throughout implementation).

This approach of using baseline data as diagnostic and informative for tailored implementation has been employed in a prior implementation study.67 The codebook will be elaborated upon and adjusted as each round of interviews is reviewed. Interviews will be compared within each organization, across organizations, across different types of respondents, and over time. Additional sources of qualitative data (i.e., meeting minutes, periodic reflections, archival information) will also be included in the data set. The data will be analyzed specifically for barriers to and facilitators of implementation, including but not limited to the ways in which the project’s implementation strategies affect adoption, satisfaction, and maintenance. In addition to identifying themes and patterns qualitatively, statistical associations will be examined between important process and outcome variables such as satisfaction with the intervention, fidelity, and retention, and improvement in clinical and behavioral outcomes. Agency profiles will be revisited and further developed at the end of the active implementation phase, and again after implementation, thereby creating a story of implementation at each organization.

Quantitative data analysis

To evaluate the clinical outcomes and the effects of the intervention, systematic data analysis and statistical modeling will be conducted: (1) For univariate analysis, the marginal distribution of each measure obtained will be calculated at each time point and across time. For categorical variables (e.g., gender, and race/ethnicity), the frequency distribution and modes will be calculated. For continuous measures (e.g., blood sugar levels/A1c), the central tendency (mean and median) will be calculated, variation (e.g., standard deviation, kurtosis), percentiles and range. Statistical tests (e.g., Shapiro-Wilk test) will be used to assess normality of the outcomes. The degree and patterns of missingness will be determined, and we will perform imputation (e.g., multiple imputation), if needed. (2) Bivariate analyses of each outcome measure and independent variables will be carried out. Pearson and Spearman rank correlation will be used to correlate predictors with outcome measures. t-tests, analysis of variance (ANOVA) or marginal regressions will be used for continuous measures. The change (delta) of outcome measures between time-points will be calculated, and distributions of the deltas will be carefully examined. The bivariate analyses between the deltas and covariates will be carried out. Marginal repeated measures models will be carried out between each independent measure and outcomes over time. (3) Multivariate repeated measures modeling68,69. To evaluate the relationships between outcome measures and independent variables over time, generalized linear mixed effect models (GLMEM) will be fitted, controlling for age and others factors. Graphical analyses (e.g., parallel plots) will be used to assist in examining the relationship between predictors and outcome measures over time. Potential non-linear relationships between the outcome measures and the continuous predictors will be explored through generalized additive mixed models.

Discussion

This study incorporates several innovative features. First, it shifts current clinical paradigms by focusing on the nexus of HIV, CVD risk, and individual and cultural trauma histories among Black and Latinx individuals in the US, who are disproportionately affected by each of these factors. The use of trauma history screening using an innovative composite trauma exposure risk index captures trauma experiences not typically asked about in healthcare settings. This project is the first to investigate how brief trauma interventions can prepare PLWH to address concurrent health conditions such as CVD risk, particularly within community-based healthcare contexts that are also addressing these health conditions. Importantly, integrated HIV and chronic disease services show promise for better outcomes among PLWH who have co-morbid conditions;70 but to date, there is a dearth of U.S. literature on how to integrate services, how to support guideline-concordant services for co-morbid conditions, and how to ensure that patients are ready to access those services and engage in appropriate care. This study provides an opportunity to shape and facilitate two complementary pathways to improved CVD care: the patient pathway and the organizational pathway. With blended, REP-guided implementation strategies71 supporting both pathways, it is expected that CVD outcomes will be improved and CVD-related services will be strengthened and maintained.

Second, to address multiple co-morbidities, implementation science literature stresses the importance of multifaceted, modular, flexible interventions72–74 rather than interventions focused on singular conditions and/or interventions that pose fidelity challenges in usual care settings. The proposed intervention, Healing our Hearts, Minds and Bodies (HHMB), represents an improvement over existing interventions in that it: addresses multiple, interrelated conditions; draws on a combination of evidence-based, evidence-informed, and culturally congruent approaches to individual and cultural trauma, HIV, and CVD risk75; reduces barriers to accessing CVD care for a diverse cohort of patients with complex needs76,77; is specifically intended for populations most impacted by HIV; is group-based, brief, and tailorable to local context; and can be facilitated by a trained staff member with minimal prior experience of delivering mental health/CVD interventions. As such, it falls within the concept of a “disruptive innovation,”77 with its emphasis on simplicity, accessibility, and potential scalability, should favorable effectiveness and implementation outcomes be achieved.

Third, extensive implementation research across various settings and with diverse populations78–80 has been used to propose innovative methods to comprehensively capture the complexity of implementation, adaptations to the intervention, and real-time use of implementation strategies that are tailored to local contexts. A persistent challenge in implementation research has been to capture the dynamic nature of change over the course of implementation.81 Our methods for capturing this complexity offer a more ethnographically oriented approach.82
This hybrid implementation/effectiveness study has limitations, including 1) potential for being underpowered to detect significant effects on any one of the LS7 factors, since participants will be working on different factors; 2) lack of generalizability to other PLWH patient populations with histories of trauma; and 3) small number of implementation sites, possibly limiting applicability of implementation strategy implications. A recent systematic review of programs that integrated CVD, hypertension, and diabetes with HIV services found that these programs showed promise in coordinating care for the rising number of patients living with HIV and CVD or its risk factors, often leveraging existing HIV and AIDS services. However, few of these programs were based in the United States, and there was limited evidence of their long-term impact. Health systems, such as our participating clinics, that work to coordinate and integrate care across HIV and chronic conditions such as CVD may provide the infrastructure needed to address the complex interplay of these conditions. Their ability to do so may be strengthened by our intervention’s explicit recognition of and care for individual and cultural histories of trauma. Should successful outcomes in this hybrid effectiveness-implementation study be achieved, the intervention and accompanying implementation strategies will be refined to support reach to other vulnerable populations (e.g., Black and Latinx PLWH who are not currently in care, other populations disproportionately affected by HIV) and to more organizations that serve these populations.

Acknowledgements

Funding provided by National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (U01 HL142109; PIs: Wyatt, Hamilton, Brown). We would like to thank the participants for their support.

Statement of conflict of interest

None of the authors has any conflicts of interests regarding this publication.

References


