Cancer related fatigue: A focus on breast cancer and Hodgkin’s disease survivors
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Abstract

Background and Purpose. Fatigue is one of the most common and troubling symptoms in cancer survivors. In this paper we review information about cancer related fatigue in survivors of breast cancer and Hodgkin’s disease, discuss some of the potential biological mechanisms for this problem in cancer survivors, and briefly discuss potential interventions. Findings. Cancer-related fatigue persists long after cancer treatments end, and is associated with more intensive treatments (combined chemotherapy and radiation therapy) in these cancers. Fatigue prior to the onset of treatment is a strong predictor of persistent fatigue. Studies in breast cancer survivors suggest elevated levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines in association with persistent fatigue, as well as abnormalities in the hypothalamic-pituitary axis. Psychosocial and physical activity interventions have been shown in some studies to alleviate fatigue. Conclusions. Recognizing the syndrome of cancer-related fatigue is a high priority for the many cancer survivors who continue to experience this complaint as a chronic health problem.

Fatigue is the most common side effect of cancer and its treatment, with prevalence estimates ranging from 60–96% for patients who are on active cancer treatment [1]. Fatigue is associated with all treatment modalities (cytotoxic chemotherapy, radiation therapy, surgery, and biotherapies), and may be the presenting symptom at cancer diagnosis [2]. Fatigue is also very common in patients with advancing cancer nearing death. Alleviation of pain and suffering are important goals of cancer care, yet strategies to alleviate and manage fatigue have been more limited.

A growing body of research indicates that fatigue may endure for months or years after successful treatment completion [3–5], causing significant impairment in overall quality of life [6,7]. Indeed, a large survey study found that cancer patients felt that fatigue adversely affected their daily lives more than pain or other symptoms [8]. There is growing consensus regarding a case definition for identifying cancer-related fatigue, which some have defined as a persistent and subjective sense of tiredness that interferes with usual functioning [6,7,9].

In this manuscript, we review research on the prevalence and correlates of fatigue in two survivor populations, breast cancer survivors and Hodgkin’s disease survivors, for whom there exists a substantial database. We also present early evidence for potential biological mechanisms underlying the development of fatigue, and briefly describe treatment strategies that have been used to manage fatigue symptoms.

Fatigue after cancer and its treatments

Prevalence and correlates of fatigue in breast cancer survivors

Fatigue is one of the most prevalent and disabling side effects of breast cancer treatment [7,10]. It is elevated in breast cancer survivors relative to age-matched healthy controls [11,12], with approximately 30% of survivors reporting moderate to severe symptoms of fatigue [13–15]. Recent work conducted by our group indicates that fatigue may endure for up to 10 years after breast cancer treatment.
diagnosis [5]. In one study, fatigue reported by women who had received chemotherapy was 50% higher than women with no history of breast cancer, indicating the clinical significance of this symptom [12]. It negatively impacts work, social relations, and daily activities, and causes significant impairment in physical function and overall quality of life in breast cancer survivors [11–13]. Fatigue is also associated with declines in physical activity among breast cancer patients and survivors [16,17].

Fatigue is a multidimensional symptom and may be influenced by psychological, physical, and biological factors. Among breast cancer patients and survivors, fatigue is strongly correlated with psychological distress and depression [11,13,18,19]. Depressed mood, pain, and sleep disturbance were the strongest correlates of fatigue in our large study of breast cancer survivors assessed at 1–5 years post diagnosis [13]. In a longitudinal follow-up of this cohort, depressed mood continued to predict fatigue at 5–10 years post diagnosis, as did cardiovascular problems and type of cancer treatment received [5]. In particular, women treated with either radiation or chemotherapy showed a small improvement in fatigue symptoms relative to women who received combined therapy. Recent research suggests that coping strategies used to manage fatigue and patients’ perceived self-efficacy in controlling this symptom may also influence fatigue levels. For example, greater use of catastrophizing as a coping strategy was associated with more severe fatigue in breast cancer survivors [12]. Fatigued breast cancer survivors also report lower levels of control over their symptoms than non-fatigued patients [15]. In contrast, women who cope actively by maintaining their activity levels report decreased fatigue during and after chemotherapy [16].

More recent cross-sectional studies examining HD survivors either treated on clinical trials or from large treatment centers [21–24], have largely confirmed the findings of Fobair et al. [20] with regard to the prevalence of fatigue among HD survivors. In addition, these studies also noted that HD survivors performed more poorly on measures of physical and psychosocial function in comparison to either patients with acute leukemia, testicular cancer or healthy population samples. These studies suggested a relationship of fatigue and physical performance to the intensity of treatments; however, their retrospective and uncontrolled design limits the ability to determine causality.

A recent longitudinal follow-up study in HD survivors did not find a relationship between treatment intensity and chronic fatigue [25], but did note an association with B symptoms at diagnosis with chronic fatigue. Further study of this same sample found that quality of life was significantly reduced in HD survivors with fatigue compared to the general population [26]. Similar findings were reported in a case control study from the German Hodgkin Lymphoma Study Group [27]. Finally, in a sibling control study from Ng et al., there was a modest difference in fatigue between HD survivors and their siblings[28]. A significant association was found between fatigue and cardiac disease in this sample as well as an association between tobacco use and fatigue [28].

Recently, Ganz et al. [2] reported on the results of a prospective study of quality of life and symptoms in patients with early stage HD treated on a clinical trial comparing subtotal nodal irradiation to combined modality therapy [29]. Of note, prior to treatment with any cancer therapy, these patients had scores on the SF-36 Vitality scale that were more than a half standard deviation below the mean of the general population. Energy level diminished significantly with treatment, and was worse with the combined modality treatment. However, induction of complete remission did not lead to normalization of energy level [2]. By one year after randomization, SF-36 Vitality scores had returned to the mean baseline level and remained at the same level through 2 years post-treatment. In a subsequent analysis, examining predictors of energy level at one year after treatment, baseline vitality score was the strongest predictor of subsequent score [30]. Only 23% of patients experienced a significant improvement in energy with treatment while 32% reported poorer energy and 45% remained about the same. These findings suggest that the mechanism of fatigue in HD may be related to pre-existing biological factors, and may not be entirely caused by treatment.
Immune factors in cancer-related fatigue

The role of proinflammatory cytokines and the cytokine network in cancer-related fatigue has received recent attention. Proinflammatory cytokines interleukin 1 beta (IL-1β), interleukin 6 (IL-6), and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) may be released as part of the host response to the tumor or in response to tissue damage, infection, or depletion of immune cell subsets related to cancer treatment [31,32]. These cytokines act on the central nervous system to induce fatigue and other “sickness behavior” (e.g., decreased activity, sleep disturbance, depressed mood) [33,34], suggesting a possible biological mechanism for cancer-related fatigue [35]. There is preliminary evidence that proinflammatory cytokines are associated with fatigue during cancer treatment. In research conducted with breast cancer patients undergoing radiation therapy, we found that increases in fatigue were associated with increases in serum levels of IL-1β and IL-6 [36]. Similarly, Greenberg et al. [37] found that fatigue and serum levels of IL-1β both increased in prostate cancer patients receiving radiation therapy.

Research conducted by our laboratory indicates that proinflammatory cytokines may also play a role in persistent post-treatment fatigue [17,38]. In two independent cohorts, we have shown that fatigued breast cancer survivors have elevations in circulating markers of proinflammatory cytokine activity as well as increased intracellular production of proinflammatory cytokines by monocytes in response to LPS stimulation [17,38]. Fatigued survivors also showed alterations in T cell homeostasis, including elevated numbers of CD4+ “helper” T lymphocytes and T lymphocytes with an “activated effector cell” phenotype (CD56+ and CD62L+) [39]. These results suggest aberrant immunologic activity may induce cytokine alterations that subsequently impact CNS function to produce fatigue; however, the basis for this aberrant immunologic activity is not yet known. Persistent inflammatory activity in breast cancer survivors may also stem from alterations in immune regulatory systems, including the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis. We have shown that breast cancer survivors with persistent fatigue have lower levels of morning serum cortisol [17], flatter diurnal cortisol slopes [40], and show a blunted cortisol response to experimental stress [41]. Moreover, alterations in cortisol responsiveness are directly correlated with alterations in proinflammatory cytokine production.

Although the literature suggests that proinflammatory cytokines may contribute to fatigue during and after treatment, research in this area is still limited to a handful of small sample studies, with only one study focusing on breast cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy [42,43]. Moreover, none have followed patients from treatment onset into the post-treatment period, nor have they examined the role of pre-treatment status on subsequent immune and behavioral outcomes. Indeed, the influence of pre-treatment factors on long-term outcomes has received minimal attention, despite evidence that pre-treatment status predicts behavioral and physiological responses to cancer treatment. Longitudinal studies evaluating patients before, during, and after treatment are essential for defining the immune system’s role in cancer-related fatigue, and for identifying pre-treatment factors that increase the risk for negative outcomes [44]. In Figure 1, we propose a conceptual model for understanding biological and psychological factors that may influence the development of fatigue in cancer patients.

Interventions to address fatigue in cancer patients

A growing number of controlled intervention studies have specifically targeted fatigue in cancer patients. Most of this research has focused on exercise and has shown consistently positive results. A recent review found that all of the published exercise trials demonstrated lower levels of fatigue in cancer patients who exercised compared to control or comparison groups [45]. Positive effects were demonstrated across a range of exercise programs, from home-based walking programs to supervised laboratory regimens, and across a range of cancer populations. Aerobic exercise was particularly effective, with fatigue levels approximately 40–50% lower in exercising subjects. Although most trials have been conducted with patients undergoing cancer treatment, beneficial effects have also been observed in research conducted with cancer survivors [46]. Work underway in our laboratory will examine the efficacy of an Iyengar yoga intervention to alleviate cancer-related fatigue in breast cancer survivors, with a detailed plan to investigate immune correlates of the intervention.

Psychosocial interventions have also shown beneficial effects on fatigue. For example, an educational group intervention designed to provide information about cancer and ways to manage the disease had positive effects on vitality and physical functioning in women undergoing treatment for breast cancer [47], with the beneficial effects of treatment on vitality maintained over a 3-year follow-up [48]. Similarly, a psycho-educational group intervention emphasizing patient education and coping skills training led to improvements in fatigue, vigor, and depressed mood among patients with malignant melanoma [49].
Other forms of group therapy (i.e., supportive expressive group therapy) and individual therapy have also shown beneficial effects on fatigue [50,51]. Effective treatments for fatigue do not necessarily require in-person interaction; for example, a patient self-administered form of stress management training demonstrated beneficial effects on vitality, physical function, and mental health among breast cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy [52]. In a recent trial testing two different interventions to facilitate recovery after breast cancer treatment, Stanton et al. [53] found that a peer-modeling video demonstrated improved recovery of energy in the 6 months following receipt of the video.

Overall, these results provide strong evidence that exercise interventions lead to improvements in cancer-related fatigue, although the mechanisms for these effects have not been determined. There is also compelling evidence that psychosocial interventions may improve energy and other aspects of mental and physical function in cancer patients. Few studies have examined pharmacologic treatments for cancer-related fatigue, other than erythropoietin; however, preliminary evidence suggests that psychostimulants may be effective for patients with advanced cancer.

Conclusions

Fatigue is one of the most common and troubling symptoms in cancer survivors. The biological mechanisms underlying this problem are beginning to be elucidated. While strongly associated with pain and psychological distress, a substantial number of survivors have neither of these complaints and experience fatigue as an isolated problem. Studies in survivors of breast cancer and Hodgkin’s disease are important models for studies of other cancer sites. While the specific etiological mechanisms of fatigue may differ by cancer site, commonalities are likely to be present. With the growing number of cancer survivors, there is increased interest in developing interventions to alleviate fatigue, as it may interfere with work, social activities and enjoyment of life. A number of research groups are actively investigating the etiology of cancer-related fatigue and this should contribute to our understanding of this problem.
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